

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q80151

Hajime SAIKI, et al.

Allowed: July 13, 2007

Appln. No.: 10/787,406

Group Art Unit: 2841

Confirmation No.: 4519

Examiner: Jeremy C. Norris

Filed: February 27, 2004

For: WIRING SUBSTRATE

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

**ATTN: MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**REMARKS**

In response to the Notice of Allowance dated July 13, 2007, the undersigned believes that the Examiner intended to refer to Claim 9 in line 2 at page 2 of the Notice of Allowability, which should correctly state "Claim 9 states the limitation...".

Patent Office personnel are requested to note that the present submission does not adversely affect the patent term adjustment accrued by the Applicant to date. As emphasized in the "Clarification of 37 C.F.R. §1.704(c)(10) – Reduction of Patent Term Adjustment for Certain Types of Papers Filed After a Notice of Allowance Has Been Mailed," 1247OG111 (6/26/01), "a response to the examiner's reasons for allowance" is an example of a paper that does "not cause substantial interference and delay in the patent issue process" and is "not considered a 'failure to engage in reasonable efforts' to conclude processing or examination of the application." Therefore, the Applicant remains entitled to the full patent term adjustment set forth on page 3 of the Notice of Allowance dated July 13, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,



Abraham J. Rosner

Registration No. 33,276

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/265550

**65565**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 10, 2007